

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| CARLOS ESCAMILLA, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | No. C 08-4539 TEH (PR) |
| |) | |
| vs. |) | ORDER OF TRANSFER |
| |) | |
| M. COGBURN, MEDICAL |) | (Docket No. 4) |
| ADMINISTRATOR, CORRECTIONS |) | |
| CORPORATION OF AMERICA, et al, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

Plaintiff, a former California state prisoner who was transferred to the North Fork Correctional Facility in Sayre, Oklahoma, has filed a pro se civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 regarding inadequate medical care he contends he is receiving at that facility. The events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred, and the Defendants named apparently reside in Beckham County, Oklahoma, within the venue of the Western District of Oklahoma. See 28 U.S.C. § 116(c). Venue therefore properly lies in that district. See id. § 1391(b).


When jurisdiction is not founded solely on diversity, venue is proper in the district in which (1) any defendant resides, if all of the defendants reside in the same state, (2) the district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Where a

1 case is filed in the wrong venue, the district court has the discretion either to dismiss the
2 case or transfer it to the proper federal court “in the interest of justice.” 28 U.S.C. §
3 1406(a). Venue may be raised by the court sua sponte where the defendant has not yet
4 filed a responsive pleading and the time for doing so has not run. Costlow v. Weeks,
5 790 F.2d 1486, 1488 (9th Cir. 1986).

6 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, in the interest of justice and pursuant to 28
7 U.S.C. § 1406(a), this action be TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for
8 the Western District of Oklahoma. In light of the transfer, this Court will not rule on
9 Plaintiff’s pending motion seeking to proceed in forma pauperis (docket no. 4). The
10 Clerk shall transfer this matter forthwith.

11 SO ORDERED.

12
13 DATED: 01/26/09

14 
15 _____
16 THELTON E. HENDERSON
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28